

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/849,221	05/20/2004	· Kazuaki Inukai	403085	6822	
23548 75	90 05/16/2006		EXAMINER		
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			EVERHART, CARIDAD		
700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20005-3960		2891		
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/200	DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/849,221	INUKAI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Caridad M. Everhart	2891	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed on the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 F	ebruary 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/849,221

Art Unit: 2891

Response to Arguments

Applicant's argument that the first rejection omitted the claim numbers has been considered. Any inconvenience caused by this omission is regretted. The rejection in view of the Wang reference is withdrawn. Applicant has further argued that Robinson and Robb do not teach leaving the photoresist in place while the stopper film is removed. This argument is respectfully found to be not persuasive because the rejection is made in view of the combination of references, and Robinson and Robb are each relied upon for the cited portions of their respective disclosures. The IBM reference is relied upon for its teaching concerning the concentration of the hydrogen which is useful in etching photoresist, so that applicant's argument that the IBM reference does not add relevant teaching is respectfully found to be not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, 7-10, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee, et al (US 6,815,331B2) in view of Robinson et al (US 4,201,579) and further in view of Robb (US 4,529,860).

Lee et al disclose forming an etch stop 704, forming a dielectric 706, and an etch stop 708 and a photoresist 710(Fig. 37) and forming an opening in the photoresist(Fig. 37). The opening formed in the dielectric(Fig. 38) is to be filled with copper(col. 1,line s43-46). The etch stop may be silicon nitride or silicon carbide(col. 9, lines 3-7). The dielectric may be porous silicon oxide(col. 10, lines 55-60) or HSQ(col. 12, lines 63-66).

Application/Control Number: 10/849,221

Art Unit: 2891

After the etching of the dielectric layer, the photoresist is ashed(col. 16, lines 20-35).

The ashing gas is hydrogen and nitrogen(col. 11, lines 65-67 and col. 12, lines 1-5) in a dry etch. Lee teaches copper metallization(col. 1, lies 40-50).

Lee does not teach hydrogen and inert gas, although Lee does disclose that argon or inert gas may be used in ashing(col. 16, lines 65-68 and col. 17, lines 1-5).

Robinson et al discloses the etching of polymer layers using hydrogen and inert gas such as Ar (col. 1, lines 55-60 and col. 3, lines 28-33).

Robb discloses that nitrogen ashing produces compounds that may react with the photoresist or underlying layers, while the argon will not(col. 6,lines 40-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the hydrogen and helium in the process taught by Lee because Lee suggests the use of hydrogen and other inert gas than nitrogen and Robb teaches hydrogen and argon for polymer layers, and refers to Robinson with respect to the hydrogen ashing(col. 5, lines 51-55).

Claims 4-6, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al in view of Robinson further in view of Robb as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of IBM Tech. Discl. Bulletin(Feb. 1967, Vol. 9, Issue 9, page 1228).

Lee et al in view of Robinson further in view of Robb is silent with respect to the recited percentages of the hydrogen with respect to the inert gas.

IBM Tech. Discl. Bull discloses that hydrogen with argon can be used to remove photoresist with the hydrogen in 5 to 15 %.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the percentages of the gases taught by IBM Tech. Discl. Bull in the method taught by Wang et al in view of Savas et al in order to completely remove the photoresist as taught by IBM Tech Discl Bull.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 571-272-1892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, B. Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. Everhart 5-14-2006